Document Metadata: DOE-HQ-2018-0010-DRAFT-0015

Document Details

Docket ID: DOE-HQ-2018-0010 **(s)**

Docket Title: Applications to Export Liquefied Natural Gas: Southern LNG

Company, LLC *

Document File:

Docket Phase: Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANOPR)

Phase Sequence: 1

Original Document ID: DOE_FRDOC_0001-DRAFT-0867

Current Document ID: DOE-HQ-2018-0010-DRAFT-0015

Number of Attachments: 0

Document Type: PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS *

Document Subtype: Public Comment §

Comment on Document ID: DOE-HQ-2018-0010-0001

Comment on Document Title: Applications to Export Liquefied Natural Gas: Southern LNG

Company, LLC \(\mathbb{O} \)

Status: Pending_Post \(\bigcirc \)

Received Date: 03/22/2018 *0

Date Posted:

Posting Restriction: No restrictions **(S)**

Submission Type: Web

Number of Submissions: 1 *

Document Optional Details

Submitter Info

Comment: Over 650 dissenting scientists from around the globe

challenged man-made global warming claims made by the United Nations . Science behind global warming too shaky to warrant Americas regulations. Environmentalists Activists are "stunned that their global warming agenda is in collapse. Americans are suspicious of the need for "solutions" to global warming. New studies are the latest evidence of the growing groundswell of scientific opposition challenging significant aspects of the claims of the UN. Global warming alarmists don't even bother with data, only half-baked computer models that are totally out of touch with reality and have already been proven to be

false. Mother Nature is so big, the world is so big, the oceans are so big -To think that we could affect weather all that much is pretty arrogant, Global Warming 'Consensus' in Freefall -chorus of skeptical scientific voices grew louder, American families and workers from this devastating economic impacts need an honest and frankly an apology. Proponents of mandatory global warming controls need to be truthful with American business. The purpose of these programs was to ration fossil based energy by making it more expensive, and therefore, less appealing for public consumption. It is a regressive tax that imposes a greater burden on the poor than on the rich. Few past False Prediction 1970 Prediction (Wrong); If present trends continue, the world will be about four degrees colder for the global mean temperature in 1990, but eleven degrees colder by the year 2000...This is about twice what it would take to put us in an ice age. Kenneth E.F. Watt on air pollution and global cooling, Earth Day (1970) 1970 Prediction (Wrong), In ten years all important animal life in the sea will be extinct. Large areas of coastline will have to be evacuated because of the stench of dead fish. Paul Ehrlich, Earth Day (1970) 1968 Prediction (Wrong); The battle to feed humanity is over. In the 1970s, the world will undergo famines. Hundreds of millions of people are going to starve to death in spite of any crash programs embarked upon now. Population control is the only answer Paul Ehrlich - The Population Bomb (1968) 1976 Prediction (wrong): trend will reduce agricultural productivity for the rest of the century Peter Gwynne, Newsweek 1975 Prediction (Wrong); There are ominous signs that the earth's weather patterns have begun to change dramatically and that these changes may portend a drastic decline in food production - with serious political implications for just about every nation on earth. The drop in food production could begin quite soon... The evidence in support of these predictions has now begun to accumulate so massively that meteorologist are hard-pressed to keep up with it. Newsweek, April 28, (1975) 1976 Prediction (Wrong); This cooling has already killed hundreds of thousands of people. If it continues and no strong action is taken, it will cause world famine, world chaos and world war, and this could all come about before the year 2000. Lowell Ponte "The Cooling", 1976 1985 Prediction (wrong); Before 1985, mankind will enter a genuine age of scarcity . . . in which the accessible supplies of many key minerals will be facing depletion Paul Ehrlich in (1976) We need to be smart and realistic about these polices. We must be honest with the American public and with ourselves. Families and workers would pay Trillions in to the system in the form of higher energy costs to get back an estimated \$802 billion in tax relief. That's a return of \$1.00 for every \$8.40 paid! It's time for the proponents of climate policies to be truthful. The use of untested, and non-transparent economic modeling issues will play a vital role in the debate on both energy and global warming policy, which have become unavoidably intertwined. Increasing our domestic energy production and lowering our dependence on foreign oil are two issues that are critically MORE important to America people. mandatory climate policies are unrealistic, extraordinarily expensive, and ill advised. what is the driver for these unrealistic proposals that seek to make unnecessarily abrupt and painful increases to our energy costs in the near term? It's all rooted in global warming science

but latest science that has not been reported in the mainstream media, Science should not be viewed through any one frame. It is not partisan. It is not regional. Political process has largely engulfed the science behind climate change, the politicization of global warming science has become one of the most unfortunate developments of the last 8 years. Anytime one questions a hypothesis or a conclusion that does not fall in line with "the sky is falling" doom and gloom scenario of global warming alarmists, it is ridiculed, written off, denigrated, and not reported by the mainstream media. Yet a more severe interpretation or alarming statistic is related, it is headline grabbing. *©

First Name:	c *©
Middle Name:	©

Last Name: c *©

Mailing Address: c *3

Mailing Address 2: c *©

City: c *\sqrt{9}

Country: United States **(S)**

State or Province: California ©

ZIP/Postal Code: c *0

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

Organization Name:

Submitter's Submitter's Representative:

Government Agency Type: ©

Government Agency:

Cover Page:

Document Optional Details

Status Set Date: 04/19/2018

Current Assignee: Bacon, Cuttie (DOE)

Status Set By: Freeman, Yohanna (DOE)

Comment Start Date: §

Comment Due Date:

Legacy ID:

Tracking Number: 1k2-925y-37ar §

Total Page Count Including Attachments: 1

Submitter Info

Comment:

Over 650 dissenting scientists from around the globe challenged man-made global warming claims made by the United Nations . Science behind global warming too shaky to warrant Americas regulations. Environmentalists Activists are "stunned that their global warming agenda is in collapse. Americans are suspicious of the need for "solutions" to global warming. New studies are the latest evidence of the growing groundswell of scientific opposition challenging significant aspects of the claims of the UN. Global warming alarmists don't even bother with data, only half-baked computer models that are totally out of touch with reality and have already been proven to be false. Mother Nature is so big, the world is so big, the oceans are so big -To think that we could affect weather all that much is pretty arrogant, Global Warming 'Consensus' in Freefall -chorus of skeptical scientific voices grew louder, American families and workers from this devastating economic impacts need an honest and frankly an apology. Proponents of mandatory global warming controls need to be truthful with American business. The purpose of these programs was to ration fossil based energy by making it more expensive, and therefore, less appealing for public consumption. It is a regressive tax that imposes a greater burden on the poor than on the rich. Few past False Prediction 1970 Prediction (Wrong); If present trends continue, the world will be about four degrees colder for the global mean temperature in 1990, but eleven degrees colder by the year 2000...This is about twice what it would take to put us in an ice age. Kenneth E.F. Watt on air pollution and global cooling, Earth Day (1970) 1970 Prediction (Wrong), In ten years all important animal life in the sea will be extinct. Large areas of coastline will have to be evacuated because of the stench of dead fish. Paul Ehrlich, Earth Day (1970) 1968 Prediction (Wrong); The battle to feed humanity is over. In the 1970s, the world will undergo famines. Hundreds of millions of people are going to starve to death in spite of any crash programs embarked upon now. Population control is the only answer Paul Ehrlich - The Population Bomb (1968) 1976 Prediction (wrong): trend will reduce agricultural productivity for the rest of the century Peter Gwynne, Newsweek 1975 Prediction (Wrong); There are ominous signs that the earth's weather patterns have begun to change dramatically and that these changes may portend a drastic decline in food production - with serious political implications for just about every nation on earth. The drop in food production could begin quite soon... The evidence in support of these predictions has now begun to accumulate so massively that meteorologist are hard-pressed to keep up with it. Newsweek, April 28, (1975) 1976 Prediction (Wrong); This cooling has already killed hundreds of thousands of people. If it continues and no strong action is taken, it will cause world famine, world chaos and world war, and this could all come about before the year 2000. Lowell Ponte "The Cooling",

1976 1985 Prediction (wrong); Before 1985, mankind will enter a genuine age of scarcity . . . in which the accessible supplies of many key minerals will be facing depletion Paul Ehrlich in (1976) We need to be smart and realistic about these polices. We must be honest with the American public and with ourselves. Families and workers would pay Trillions in to the system in the form of higher energy costs to get back an estimated \$802 billion in tax relief. That's a return of \$1.00 for every \$8.40 paid! It's time for the proponents of climate policies to be truthful. The use of untested, and non-transparent economic modeling issues will play a vital role in the debate on both energy and global warming policy, which have become unavoidably intertwined. Increasing our domestic energy production and lowering our dependence on foreign oil are two issues that are critically MORE important to America people. mandatory climate policies are unrealistic, extraordinarily expensive, and ill advised. what is the driver for these unrealistic proposals that seek to make unnecessarily abrupt and painful increases to our energy costs in the near term? It's all rooted in global warming science but latest science that has not been reported in the mainstream media, Science should not be viewed through any one frame. It is not partisan. It is not regional. Political process has largely engulfed the science behind climate change, the politicization of global warming science has become one of the most unfortunate developments of the last 8 years. Anytime one questions a hypothesis or a conclusion that does not fall in line with "the sky is falling" doom and gloom scenario of global warming alarmists, it is ridiculed, written off, denigrated, and not reported by the mainstream media. Yet a more severe interpretation or alarming statistic is related, it is headline grabbing.

Middle Name:	()
Last Name:	c *()
Mailing Address:	c *()
Mailing Address 2:	c *()
City:	c *()
Country:	United States (§
State or Province:	California 🛇
ZIP/Postal Code:	c *()
Email Address:	©
Phone Number:	©
Fax Number:	©
Organization Name:	©

c *(\$)

First Name:

Submitter's

Representative:

Government Agency Type: (9)

Government Agency: §

Cover Page: